

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/584,205 | CHIU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sanjiv D. Shah | 2627 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sanjiv D. Shah.

(2) Marty Fliesler.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 October 2005

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

As cited in prior office action

Claims discussed:

1-28

Prior art documents discussed:

As cited in prior office action


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested examiner's amendment, which was authorized by applicant's representative

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SANJIV SHAH

PRIMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)